UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

| SHELLY MARTIN, et al. | D (N |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | Docket No. 11-2561(FLW) |
| -against- | CERTIFICATION IN SURPORT OF |
| ATLANTICARE, et al. | MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS |
| Defendant. | COUNSEL |

BRIAN M. DRATCH, duly sworn deposes as says:

- 1. I am an attorney at law of the State of New Jersey and an associate with the firm of Franzblau Dratch, P.C., the current attorneys for the plaintiff in the above matter. I am the attorney in the firm primarily responsible for the handling of this matter.
- 2. I make this Certification in support of Franzblau Dratch, P.C. sp motion to be relieved as counsel for the plaintiffs.
- 3. The Court is fully familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case and the entire history need not be repeated here.
- 4. Suffice it to say, this matter was originally filed by the law firm of Costello and Mains.
- 5. Due to a conflict, the court ordered that Costello and Mains could no longer represent the plaintiffs.
 - 6. Franzblau Dratch, P.C. was substituted in as counsel is this matter.

- 7. Discovery has taken place which included the exchange voluminous documents and the taking of five depositions.
- 8. Following the completion of discovery, defense counsel served plaintiffs with a Rule 11 motion.
- 9. As was stated at the last conference prior to the July 18, 2012 order, the plaintiffs were made aware of the potential consequences of a Rule 11 motion if a finding by a Court that a complaint is frivolous.
 - 10. The plaintiffs are not pleased at all with my efforts.
- 11. I informed my clients that it did not appear that they would be able to prove the necessary elements of racial discrimination by the defendant and that a voluntary dismissal would be appropriate.
- 12. The plaintiffs agreed, however, they requested that the dismissal be without prejudice, to which defense counsel disagreed.
- 13. Defense counsel has now filed the Rule 11 motion. I again wrote to my clients and reiterated the potential consequences of the motion. The plaintiffs believe that this is harassment as per there August 22, 2012 letter to the Court.
- 14. Clearly, there has been a breakdown in the attorney client relationship. The plaintiffs no longer want me to be there attorney and I have no objection to same.
- 15. When my competency is called into question as the plaintiffs have so stated, I would usually vigorously defend same, in this case, my better judgment indicates that this motion to withdraw is the most appropriate course of action. I know that my efforts were genuine and that my clients rights for just compensation was vigorously pursued.
 - 16. For all of the foregoing reasons, I request that the motion be granted.

I hereby certify that the above statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the above statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

BRIAN M. DRATCH

Dated: August 24, 2012